

MICHAEL J. BUJOLD
(ADMITTED IN NH & ME)

NEAL E. FRIEDMAN
(ADMITTED IN MA)

SCOTT DANIELS
(Admitted in NH)

LAW OFFICES
DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C.
FOURTH FLOOR
500 NORTH COMMERCIAL STREET
MANCHESTER, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03101
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TELEPHONE (603) 624-9220
FACSIMILE (603) 624-9229
E-mail: patent@davisandbujold.com

OF COUNSEL

ANTHONY G.M. DAVIS
(ADMITTED IN NY ONLY)
CHARTER PATENT AGENT (U.K.)
EUROPEAN PATENT ATTORNEY

GARY D. CLAPP
(ADMITTED IN MA)

BOSTON ADDRESS:
P.O. BOX 67463
BROOKLINE MA 02467

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

TO: Arnel C. Lavarias FAX #: (703) 872-9318
COMPANY: U.S. Patent & Trademark Office - Group Art Unit #2872
FROM: Michael J. Bujold TOTAL NO. OF PAGES SENT: 4
DATE: August 12, 2002
REMARKS AND ENCLOSURES:

In re Appln. of: Kenneth Lloyd WESTRA and Brian MOORE
Serial No.: 09/758,715
Filed: January 11, 2001
For: A METHOD OF MAKING A HIGH REFLECTIVITY MICRO MIRROR
AND A MICRO MIRROR
Group Art Unit: 2872
Examiner: Arnel C. Lavarias
Docket: THOLAM P139US

RECEIVED:

FAX COPY RECEIVED

AUG 12 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The document(s) accompanying this telefaxed transmission contains information from the law firm of DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C. which is confidential and/or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use by the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify our office by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the enclosed documents at no cost to you.

08/12/02

PATENT APPLICATION

#4 PB
8/21/02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of	:	Kenneth Lloyd WESTRA and Brian MOORE
Serial no.	:	09/758,715
Filed	:	January 11, 2001
For	:	A METHOD OF MAKING A HIGH REFLECTIVITY MICRO MIRROR AND A MICRO MIRROR
Group Art Unit	:	2872
Examiner	:	Amel C. Lavarias
Docket	:	THOLAM P139US

FAX COPY RECEIVED

The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231

AUG 12 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

This response is being filed in reply to the office action mailed July 15, 2002. In that action, the Examiner has required restriction under 35 U.S.C. 121 between the following groups of claims:

- I. Claims 1-24, drawn to a method of making a high reflectivity micro mirror, classified in class 216, subclass 2.
- II. Claims 25-62, drawn to a high reflectivity micro mirror, classified in class 359, subclass 838.

In the event that Group I (claims 1-24) is elected, an election of one of the following species is also required:

- Species 1: a method of making a high reflectivity micromirror with the portion of the passage being removed being a passage extending parallel to the crystalline plane. Claims 1, 2, 7 and 21.
- Species 2: a method of making a high reflectivity micromirror with the portion of the passage being removed being an inlet passage and an outlet passage which intersect at the crystalline plane. Claims 1, 3, 8, 22 and 24.
- Species 3: a method of making a high reflectivity micromirror with the exposed portion of the crystalline plane becoming a part of an exterior surface of the body. Claims 1, 4, 18-23.
- Species 4: a method of making a high reflectivity micromirror with the exposed portion of the crystalline plane remaining positioned internally within the body. Claims 1 and 5.